## Memorandum to the City of Markham Committee of Adjustment

November 28, 2019

File: A/130/19

Address: 28 Hemingway Cres – Markham, ON

Applicant: Wu Xue Jing Agent: Edwin Cheng

Hearing Date: Wednesday December 11, 2019

The Central Team provides the following comments. The Applicant requests relief from the following requirements of the R4 Zone – "Fourth Density Single Family Residential Zone" under By-law 11-72, as amended, as it relates to a second storey addition to an existing dwelling:

## a) **By-law 11-72, Section 6.1:**

To permit a building height of 8.61 m (28 ft 3 in); whereas the By-law permits maximum building height of 7.62 m (25 ft) from average grade to midpoint;

## b) **By-law 11-72, Section 4.11:**

To permit flankage setback of 3.74 m (12 ft 3 in); whereas the By-law requires a minimum flankage setback of half the building's height at 4.3 m (14 ft 1.5 in); and

## c) **By-law 11-72, Section 6.1:**

To permit a front yard setback relating to bay window section of 7.93 m (26 ft); whereas the By-law requires minimum front yard setback of 8.23 m (27 ft).

#### **BACKGROUND**

#### **Property Description**

The 640.10 m² (6,889.98 ft²) rectangular-shaped subject property is located on the southeast corner of Hemingway Crescent and Hagerman Boulevard and is generally located north of Carlton Road, east of Warden Avenue, south of 16<sup>th</sup> Avenue, and west of Village Parkway. An existing 1974 two-storey, split detached dwelling is located on the subject property where mature vegetation and trees exist.

The subject property is within an established residential neighbourhood comprised of a mix of one and two-storey detached dwellings. The surrounding area is undergoing a transition with newer dwellings being developed as infill development.

#### **Proposal**

The Applicant proposes to retrofit the two-storey portion of the existing detached dwelling with the addition of a second storey addition (the "proposed development").

#### Official Plan and Zoning

Official Plan 2014 (partially approved on Nov 24/17, and updated on April 9/18)

The subject property is designated "Residential - Low Rise", which permits low-rise housing forms including single detached dwellings. Section 8.2.3.5 of the Official Plan outlines development criteria for the "Residential - Low Rise" designation respecting height, massing, and setbacks. The criteria ensures that the proposed development is appropriate for the subject property and generally consistent with the zoning requirements

for adjacent properties and properties along the same street. In considering this minor variance application, infill development is required to meet the general intent of these development criteria. Regard shall also be had for retention of existing trees and vegetation, the width of proposed garages and driveways and the overall orientation and sizing of new lots within a residential neighbourhood.

## Zoning By-Law 11-72

The subject property is zoned R4 – "Fourth Density Single Family Residential Zone", under By-law 11-72, as amended, which permits one single detached dwelling per lot.

#### Applicant's Stated Reason for Not Complying with Zoning

According to the information provided by the Applicant, the reason for not complying with Zoning is because,

"current zoning restrictions as it relates to height and setbacks do not permit the expansion of the dwelling unit to facilitate the needs of a modern family unit".

## Zoning Preliminary Review ("ZPR") Not Undertaken

The Applicant confirmed that a ZPR has not been conducted. It is the Applicant's responsibility to ensure that this application has accurately identified all the variances to the Zoning By-law required for the proposed development. If the variance request in this application contains errors, or if the need for additional variances is identified during the Building Permit review process, further variance application(s) may be required to address the non-compliance.

#### **COMMENTS**

The *Planning Act* states that four tests must be met in order for a variance to be granted by the Committee of Adjustment:

- a) The variance must be minor in nature:
- b) The variance must be desirable, in the opinion of the Committee of Adjustment, for the appropriate development or use of land, building or structure;
- c) The general intent and purpose of the Zoning By-law must be maintained; and
- d) The general intent and purpose of the Official Plan must be maintained.

#### **Increase in Maximum Building Height**

The Applicant requests relief to permit a maximum building height of 8.61 m (28 ft 3 in), whereas the By-law permits a maximum building height of 7.62 m (25 ft), which represents an increase of 0.99 m (3 ft 3 in).

The surrounding residential neighbourhood consists of a mix of one and two-storey single detached dwellings. Staff are of the opinion that the proposed increase in height is compatible and appropriate for the context of the site, and that the variance would not appear to have adverse impacts on the overall character of the street.

#### Reduced Side Yard Setback (Flankage)

The Applicant requests a minimum side yard setback of 3.74 m (12 ft 3 in) for the flankage yard, whereas the By-law requires a minimum flankage setback of 3.66 m (12 ft) or half of the buildings height at 4.28 m (14 ft 1.5 in); whichever is greater. Based on the Applicant's

proposed development, a minimum setback of the latter would apply. This translates to a requested relief of approximately 0.54 m (2 ft 1.5 in).

Staff are satisfied that the requested variance maintains a generous flankage side yard setback, and is consistent with the general intent of the zoning by-law. Staff are of the opinion that the requested variance is minor in nature.

#### **Reduction in Front Yard Setback**

The Applicant requests relief to permit a minimum front yard setback of 7.93 m (26 ft) relating to a bay window, whereas the By-law requires a minimum front yard setback of 8.23 m (27 ft). The proposed variance is entirely attributed to the bay window, which represents a reduction of approximately 0.31 m (1 ft) to the front yard setback, and is a minor encroachment that should not have an impact to the overall character of the street.

Staff are of the opinion that the proposed variance is generally consistent with the established front yard setbacks along on the street, and have no objection to the proposed variance.

#### PUBLIC INPUT SUMMARY

No written submissions were received as of November 28, 2019. It is noted that additional information may be received after the writing of the report, and the Secretary-Treasurer will provide information on this at the meeting.

#### CONCLUSION

Planning Staff have reviewed the application with respect to Section 45(1) of the *Planning Act*, R.S.O. 1990, c. P.13, as amended, and are of the opinion that the variance request meets the four tests of the *Planning Act* and have no objection to the requested variances. Staff recommend that the Committee consider public input in reaching a decision.

The onus is ultimately on the Applicant to demonstrate why they should be granted relief from the requirements of the zoning by-law, and how they satisfy the tests of the *Planning Act* required for the granting of minor variances.

Please see Appendix "A" for conditions to be attached to any approval of this application.

PREPARED BY:

Aleks Todorovski, Planner, Zoning and Special Projects

**REVIEWED BY:** 

Stephen Lue, Development Manager, Central District

File Path: Amanda\File\ 19 139956 \Documents\District Team Comments Memo

## APPENDIX "A" CONDITIONS TO BE ATTACHED TO ANY APPROVAL OF FILE A/130/19

- 1. The variances apply only to the proposed development as long as it remains.
- 2. That the variances apply only to the subject development, in substantial conformity with the plans attached as 'Appendix B' to this Staff Report and received by the City of Markham on and after November 13, 2019 and that the Secretary-Treasurer receive written confirmation from the Director of Planning and Urban Design or designate that this condition has been fulfilled to his or her satisfaction.
- 3. That the owner implement and maintain all of the works required in accordance with the conditions of this variance.
- 4. Submission of a Tree Assessment and Preservation Plan, prepared by a qualified arborist in accordance with the City's Streetscape Manual (2009), as amended, to be reviewed and approved by the City, and that the Secretary-Treasurer receive written confirmation from Tree Preservation Technician or Director of Operations that this condition has been fulfilled to his/her satisfaction, and that any detailed Siting, Lot Grading and Servicing Plan required as a condition of approval reflects the Tree Assessment and Preservation Plan.
- That prior to the commencement of construction or demolition, tree protection be erected and maintained around all trees on site in accordance with the City's Streetscape Manual, including street trees, in accordance with the City's Streetscape Manual (2009) as amended, and inspected by City Staff to the satisfaction of the Tree Preservation Technician or Director of Operations.
- 6. That tree replacements be provided and/or tree replacement fees be paid to the City if required in accordance with the Tree Assessment and Preservation Plan, and that the Secretary-Treasurer receive written confirmation that this condition has been fulfilled to the satisfaction of the Tree Preservation Technician or Director of Operations.

CONDITIONS PREPARED BY:

Aleks Todorovski, Planner, Zoning and Special Projects

# APPENDIX "B" PLANS TO BE ATTACHED TO ANY APPROVAL OF FILE A/130/19

TOPOGRAPHIC SKETCH PREPARED FOR LOT 248, PLAN M-1441 CITY OF MARKHAM REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF YORK SCALE 1 : 250 HAGERMAN BOULEVARD M-1441LOT Ž48 છે HEMINGWAY CRESCENT PLAN REGISTE  $\odot$ LOT 249 # 26 2 Storey Brick Dwelling NOTE: ELEVATIONS SHOWN HEREON ARE GEODETIC AND DETERMINED BY REALTIME CAN-NET NETWORK OBSERVATIONS (CGYD 1928, 1978 ADJUSTMENT). CONFIRMED WITH BENCHMARK No. 0011961U3342 LOCATED AT THE INTERSECTION OF BASELINE ROAD AND McCOWAN ROAD HAVING AN ELEVATION OF 230.415m. SURVEYOR'S CERTIFICATE I CERTIFY THAT: 1. THE SURVEY WAS COMPLETED ON THE 16TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 2019. SEPT. 23, 2019 G. K. JENKINS Ontario Land Surveyor DATE Delph & Jenkins North Ltd. 19276 - 1Ontario Land Surveyors 220 Industrial Parkway S., Unit 6, Aurora, Ontario L4G-3V6 Tel.905-841-8526 Fax.905-841-2496 DRAWN BY: LV CHECKED BY: GKJ ACAD FILE: 19412-1.DWG DATE: AUGUST 27, 2019















